



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,990	03/05/2002	Ron Rymon	02/23292	4478

7590 01/04/2005

G.E. EHRLICH (1995) LTD.  
C/O ANTHONY CASTORINA  
SUITE 207  
2001 JEFFERSON DAVIS HIGHWAY  
ARLINGTON, VA 22202

EXAMINER

HOANG, PHUONG N

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2126

DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/087,990	RYMON, RON	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Phuong N. Hoang	2126	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 March 2002.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 26 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 - 26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)                        | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)               | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>7/26/02</u> .   | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1 – 26 are pending for examination.

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 22 – 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- a. The following terms lack proper antecedent basis:

- i. The graph – claims 22 – 23;

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

**5. Claims 1 – 12, 17 – 21, and 24 - 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shandony, US patent no. 6,675,261.**

**6. As to claim 1**, Shandony teaches a pattern recognition apparatus for grouping nodes according to relationships with other nodes, the apparatus comprising the steps of:

an input for receiving an management of nodes, the arrangement comprising at least two partitions (groups of users and set of resources, col. 7 lines 63 – col. 8 lines 30) of the nodes and with predetermined relationships (user access to specific resource of set of resources) between nodes across the partitions, and

a pattern recognition unit (entity system, col. 7 and 8) associated with the input for using pattern recognition on the nodes and the relationships to find relationship patterns among the nodes thereby to form at least one group from nodes (group of users) of a first of the partition, wherein the nodes being formed into the group relationship with same ones of a predetermined number of resources in a second partition (user access to specific resource or set of resources).

Shandony does not explicitly teach that the set of resources being as nodes.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to recognize that set of resources would have the functionalities as nodes that keep the resources that has a predetermined relationship with the first group of nodes.

Art Unit: 2126

7. **As to claims 2 - 5**, Shandony teaches the step of wherein the nodes in the first partition are users of a network (users of a network, col. 7 lines 63 - col. 8 lines 25, and col. 5 lines 20 - 30) the nodes in the second partition are resources (resources) of the network and the relationships are access permissions (allowed to access to particular resources), and the relationships are usage levels of respective resources by respective users.

8. **As to claim 6**, Shandony teaches the step of wherein the nodes in the first partition are entities (users are entities, col. 6 lines 65 - 67, and col. 7 lines 63 - col. 8 lines 25) having attributes (have resources after they get access) and the nodes in the second partition represent the attributes (resources are attributes or data, col. 7 lines 1 - 5), and the relationships represent a respective user possessing a respective attribute.

9. **As to claim 7**, Shandony teaches the step of wherein the pattern recognition unit is associated with a search engine (group manager 44, col. 7 lines 63 - col. 8 lines 30) operable to use a search tree to begin with a single resource (group of user access to specific resource,) and its associated users, and iteratively to add resources and remove users (deletion of users) not having a predefined relationship with the iteratively added resources (only add user when they need to access to application they need), to meet a resource number, or a user number constraint.

Art Unit: 2126

10. **As to claims 8 and 9**, Shandony teaches the step of wherein the search engine is operable to use a homogeneity measure for determine (group of users need identical access to specific resource, col. 7 lines 63 - 8 lines 30) whether to consider a candidate grouping in the search (multi-step workflows can define which users must obtain approval before being added to a group).

11. **As to claim 10**, Shandony teaches the step of wherein the search engine is operable within the iterative stages (user can be added, col. 8 lines 10 – 30) to add further resources common to a current get of users.

12. **As to claim 11**, Shandony teaches the step of wherein the search engine is operable to compute a set of all users related to a current set of resources (users need identical access to specific resource, col. 7 lines 63 - 8 lines 30).

13. **As to claim 12**, Shandony teaches the step of the search engine is operable to consider for expansion all resources outside the current of resources (resources 22 is external ... accessible to a user on a network, col. 5 lines 58 – 67) that have at least one relationship connection with a current set of users.

Art Unit: 2126

14. **As to claim 17**, Shandony teaches the step of wherein the pattern recognition unit is operable to use the partition recognition within an iterative tree searching process (query, col. 9 lines 15 – 30).

15. **As to claim 18**, Shandony teaches the step of wherein the pattern recognition unit is operable to insert (add user, col. 8 lines 10 – 30) the groupings as an intermediate partition amongst the nodes thereby to redefine the relationships through the groupings.

16. **As to claim 19**, Shandony teaches the step of wherein the nodes are arranged into three partitions, an intermediate one of the partitions (group manager 44, col. 7 and 8) comprising predetermined relationship dependent groupings of at least some of the nodes in a first of the partitions, the pattern recognition unit being operable to use the pattern recognition to add new groups to the intermediate partition.

17. **As to claims 20 – 21, and 24**, Shandony teaches the step of wherein the input is associated with a graphical expositors (col. 7 lines 20 – 50) which is operable to form the nodes into the partitions, and review and manipulate user group associations.

18. **As to claim 25**, this is the method claim of claim 1. See rejection for claim 1 above.

Art Unit: 2126

19. **As to claim 26**, this is the product claim of claim 1. See rejection for claim 1 above.

20. **Claims 13 – 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shandony, US patent no. 6,675,261 in view of Riddle, Pub. No. 2003/0061263.**

21. **As to claims 13 - 16**, Shandony teaches log value (log-on, col. 6 lines 55 – 60, and col. 9 lines 5 – 20, and col. 7 lines 64 – 67), controlling the groups (which user is in the groups, col. 7 and 8), wherein the set of users associated with each of the nodes is associated with attributes (when user access to resources, col. 8 lines 10 – 30).

Shandony does not explicitly teach the step of wherein the homogeneity measure is the percentage of occurrence of given attribute, multiplied by the log value thereof, summed over all such users in the result.

Riddle teaches the sum of users accessing to attributes (total number of active users accessing to resources, [00180]).

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Shandony and Riddle's system because Riddle's sum of user would provide the total of user in the specific groups that access the attributes.



Art Unit: 2126

**22. Claims 22 - 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shandony, US patent no. 6,675,261 in view of Brown, US patent no. 5,941,947.**

**23. As to claims 22 - 23,** Shandony does not explicitly teach the steps of wherein the graphical expositor is further operable to partition the graph into sub-graphs, each of the sub-graphs itself being a mentioned graph having at least two partitions, sub-graphs being limited to it subset of the nodes in one of the partitions, and further comprising all the nodes in the other partition that are linked thereto, and wherein the pattern recognition unit is further operable to perform groupings on each of the sub-graphs, and then to merge the results into a full graph.

Brown teaches the steps of wherein the graphical expositor is further operable to partition the graph into sub-graphs (acyclic graphs, col. 12 lines 51 – col. 13 line 38), each of the sub-graphs itself being a mentioned graph having at least two partitions, sub-graphs being limited to it subset of the nodes in one of the partitions, and further comprising all the nodes in the other partition that are linked thereto, and wherein the pattern recognition unit is further operable to perform groupings on each of the sub-graphs, and then to merge the results into a full graph.

It would have been obvious to one of skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teaching of Shandony and Brown's system because Brown's graph would provide the tree structure of the system with partitioned groups on different levels for easy controlling access.

***Conclusion***

24. The prior art made of record but not relied upon request is considered to be pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Padlilla, Pub. No. US 2002/0087678 A1, demonstrating a system handling the organization that comprises groups and subgroups.

25. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Phuong N. Hoang whose telephone number is (571)272-3763. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 9:00 am to 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng-Ai An can be reached on (571)272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/087,990  
Art Unit: 2126

Page 10

Ph  
December 23, 2004

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Sue Lao".

**SUE LAO**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**